

8. Porter JM, Moneta GL. Reporting standards in venous disease: an update. International Consensus Committee on Chronic Venous Disease. *J Vasc Surg* 1995;21:635-45.
9. Heckenkamp J, Gawenda M, Brunkwall J. Vascular stenosis: basic science and clinical implications. *J Cardiovasc Surg* 2002;43:349-57.
10. Neglén P, Berry MA, Raju S. Endovascular surgery in the treatment of chronic primary and post-thrombotic iliac vein obstruction. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2000;20:560-71.

Submitted Apr 10, 2003; accepted Jul 2, 2003.

## CORRECTIONS

**In: Brown OW, Medicolegal implications of board certification (*J Vasc Surg* 2003;38:407-8).**

The Editors would like to clarify that the author, O. William Brown, MD, JD, is the defendant in the case described in the Editorial.

**In: Lauder C, Kelly A, Thompson MM, London NJM, Bell PRF, Naylor AR. Early and late outcome after carotid artery bypass grafting with saphenous vein (*J Vasc Surg* 2003;38:1025-30).**

On page 1030 of the Lauder article, the last line should read, "See Invited Commentary on page 1038."

**In: Camiade C, Maher A, Ricco J-B, Roumy J, Febrer G, Marchand C, et al. Carotid bypass with polytetrafluoroethylene grafts: A study of 110 consecutive patients (*J Vasc Surg* 2003;38:1031-8).**

The last line on page 1037 of Camiade et al should read, "See Invited Commentary on page 1038."

**In: Myers DD, Hawley AE, Farris DM, Wroblewski SK, Thanaporn P, Schaub RG, et al. P-selectin and leukocyte microparticles are associated with venous thrombogenesis (*J Vasc Surg* 2003;38:1075-89).**

The Myers et al article contains the following errors:

The legend for Fig 5 should read, "... sham at day 6 include. . . ^CT(23.7 ng/mg)." Under "\*CT vs WT, PKO, EPKO P<.05," Fig 5 B should include, "\*\*\*WT vs PKO, EPKO P<.01."

A double asterisk should appear above the column PKO(n5) in Fig 6 A.

On page 1084, column one, the text should read, "PKO animals demonstrated significantly reduced levels of E-selectin at day 2 compared with WT ( $P<.01$ ) and nonsignificant reduced levels at day 6 ( $706 \pm 64$  vs  $1168 \pm 312$  pg/mg total protein;  $P=.15$ , ns).

On page 1085, column 2, the text should read, "However, Ab-PSGL-1 did result in a statistically significant decline at day 2 ( $33 \pm 2$  to  $23 \pm 1$  ng/mg total protein,  $P<.01$ ) and no decline at day 6 ( $24 \pm 5$  to  $26 \pm 2$  ng/mg total protein, ns).

An incorrect version of Fig 8 B was submitted for publication. The following is the correct figure:

